

## Confirmed Report Card Ratings for 2007 and 2008

Richland School District One, Office of Accountability, Assessment, Research and Evaluation – February 23, 2009

Elementary Schools	Confirmed Report Card Ratings 2007				Confirmed Report Card Ratings 2008			
	Absolute		Improvement		Absolute		Improvement	
	Index	Rating	Index	Rating	Index	Rating	Index	Rating
Arden	2.6	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.6	At-Risk	0.1	Average
Bradley	2.7	Below Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	2.6	At-Risk	-0.2	At-Risk
Brennen	3.6	Good	0.1	Good*	3.6	Good	0.0	Below Average
Brockman	3.5	Good	0.2	Average	3.6	Good	0.1	Average
Burnside	2.8	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.8	Below Average	-0.2	At-Risk
Burton-Pack	2.5	Unsatisfactory	0.1	Average	2.8	Below Average	0.1	Average
Carver-Lyon	2.3	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average	2.4	At-Risk	0.0	Below Average
Caughman Road	2.8	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.7	Below Average	0.1	Average
Forest Heights	2.6	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.5	At-Risk	-0.1	At-Risk
Gadsden	2.8	Below Average	0.2	Good*	3.3	Average	0.2	Good *
Greenview	3.0	Average	0.1	Good*	2.8	Below Average	-0.1	At-Risk
Hopkins	3.0	Average	0.2	Good*	2.8	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
Horrell Hill	2.9	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.9	Below Average	-0.1	At-Risk
Hyatt Park	2.4	Unsatisfactory	0.1	Good*	2.5	At-Risk	0.1	Average
Logan	2.5	Unsatisfactory	0.2	Good*	2.6	At-Risk	0.1	Average
Meadowfield	3.0	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.9	Below Average	-0.1	At-Risk
Mill Creek	2.8	Below Average	0.1	Good*	2.5	At-Risk	-0.3	At-Risk
Moore	3.2	Average	0.1	Good*	3.2	Average	0.1	Average
Pine Grove	2.7	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.7	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
Rhame	2.8	Below Average	0.2	Good*	2.7	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
Rosewood	3.4	Good	0.0	Below Average	3.5	Good	-0.1	At-Risk
Sandel	2.6	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.8	Below Average	-0.2	At-Risk
Satchel Ford	3.7	Good	0.0	Average*	3.9	Excellent	0.0	Below Average
South Kilbourne	2.9	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.9	Below Average	-0.1	At-Risk
Taylor	2.7	Below Average	0.2	Good*	3.0	Below Average	0.1	Average
Thomas	2.3	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.4	At-Risk	0.0	Below Average
Watkins-Nance	2.6	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.7	Below Average	0.2	Good*
Webber	2.5	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average	2.4	At-Risk	0.0	Below Average
CSI – Charter	2.4	Unsatisfactory	-0.5	Unsatisfactory	2.9	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
MMBA - Charter	2.6	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.5	At-Risk	-0.2	At-Risk
Hall	---	Not Applicable	---	Not Applicable	---	Not Applicable	---	Not Applicable
Pendergrass	---	Not Applicable	---	Not Applicable	---	Not Applicable	---	Not Applicable

\*Ratings were raised one level up due to the improvement of historically underachieving group of students (cannot be simulated by district).

\*\*Ratings were raised due to absolute ratings of “Excellent” for two years in a row.

Green indicates higher than the previous year, red indicates lower than the previous year, and yellow indicates the same as the previous year. White indicates that no comparison is available.

## Confirmed Report Card Ratings for 2007 and 2008

Richland School District One, Office of Accountability, Assessment, Research and Evaluation – February 23, 2009

Middle Schools	Confirmed Report Card Ratings 2007				Confirmed Report Card Ratings 2008			
	Absolute		Improvement		Absolute		Improvement	
	Index	Rating	Index	Rating	Index	Rating	Index	Rating
Alcorn	2.1	Unsatisfactory	-0.3	Unsatisfactory	2.0	At-Risk	-0.1	At-Risk
Crayton	3.3	Average	0.0	Below Average	3.3	Average	0.0	Below Average
Gibbes	2.3	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average	2.3	At-Risk	-0.1	At-Risk
Hand	3.3	Average	0.1	Good*	3.4	Average	0.1	Average
Hopkins	2.5	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.5	At-Risk	0.0	Below Average
Perry	2.2	Unsatisfactory	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	2.1	At-Risk	-0.1	At-Risk
Sanders	2.4	Unsatisfactory	0.1	Average	2.4	At-Risk	-0.1	At-Risk
Southeast	2.6	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.9	Below Average	0.2	Average
St. Andrews	2.5	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.6	At-Risk	0.0	Below Average
MMBA - Charter	2.2	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.5	At-Risk	0.1	Average
Hall	---	Not Applicable	---	Not Applicable	1.8	At-Risk	---	Not Applicable
Pendergrass	---	Not Applicable	---	Not Applicable	---	Not Applicable	---	Not Applicable
<b>High Schools</b>								
Columbia	2.8	Below Average	0.5	Excellent	3.4	Average	0.6	Excellent*
Dreher	3.7	Good	0.3	Excellent*	3.7	Good	0.0	Below Average
Eau Claire	2.3	Unsatisfactory	0.4	Excellent	2.6	At-Risk	0.3	Good
Flora	3.4	Good	0.3	Excellent*	3.7	Good	0.3	Excellent*
Johnson	2.5	Unsatisfactory	0.6	Excellent	2.7	Below Average	0.2	Good*
Keenan	2.1	Unsatisfactory	-0.3	Unsatisfactory	3.4	Average	1.3	Excellent
Lower Richland	2.6	Below Average	0.2	Average	2.9	Below Average	0.3	Good
Middle College	---	Not Applicable	---	Not Applicable	---	Not Applicable	---	Not Applicable
Hall	1.4	Unsatisfactory	---	Not Applicable	2.6	At-Risk	1.2	Excellent
Pendergrass	---	Not Applicable	---	Not Applicable	---	Not Applicable	---	Not Applicable
Heyward	3.75	Excellent	-0.25	Good	4.00	Excellent	0.25	Excellent
<b>District</b>								
Richland One	2.6	Below Average	0.1	Average	2.9	Below Average	0.3	Excellent*

\*Ratings were raised one level up due to the improvement of historically underachieving group of students (cannot be simulated by district).

\*\*Ratings were raised due to absolute ratings of "Excellent" for two years in a row.

Green indicates higher than the previous year, red indicates lower than the previous year, and yellow indicates the same as the previous year. White indicates that no comparison is available.