

**Richland School District One**  
**Office of Research and Evaluation, November 14, 2007**  
*Confirmed 2007 Report Card Ratings*

Elementary Schools	Confirmed Report Card Ratings 2006				<i>Confirmed</i> Report Card Ratings 2007			
	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating
Arden	2.6	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.6	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
Bradley	2.8	Below Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	2.7	Below Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Brennen	3.4	Good	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	3.6	Good	0.1	<b>Good*</b>
Brockman	3.5	Good	0.0	Below Average**	3.5	Good	0.2	Average
Burnside	2.6	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.8	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Burton-Pack	2.4	Unsatisfactory	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	2.5	Unsatisfactory	0.1	Average
Carver-Lyon	2.3	Unsatisfactory	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	2.3	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average
Caughman	2.8	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.8	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
Forest Heights	2.7	Below Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	2.6	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Gadsden	2.6	Below Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	2.8	Below Average	0.2	<b>Good*</b>
Greenview	2.7	Below Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	3.0	Average	0.1	<b>Good*</b>
Hopkins	2.9	Average	+0.1	<b>Good*</b>	3.0	Average	0.2	<b>Good*</b>
Horrell Hill	2.9	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	2.9	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Hyatt Park	2.2	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.4	Unsatisfactory	0.1	<b>Good*</b>
Logan	2.4	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.5	Unsatisfactory	0.2	<b>Good*</b>
Meadowfield	2.9	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	3.0	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Mill Creek	2.6	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.8	Below Average	0.1	<b>Good*</b>
Moore	3.1	Average	0.0	Below Average	3.2	Average	0.1	<b>Good*</b>
Pine Grove	2.8	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.7	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Rhame	2.7	Below Average	0.0	Below Average**	2.8	Below Average	0.2	<b>Good*</b>
Rosewood	3.4	Good	0.0	Below Average**	3.4	Good	0.0	Below Average
Sandel	2.6	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.6	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
Satchel Ford	3.6	Good	0.0	Below Average	3.7	Good	0.0	<b>Average*</b>
S. Kilbourne	2.8	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.9	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
Taylor	2.3	Unsatisfactory	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	2.7	Below Average	0.2	<b>Good*</b>
Thomas	2.4	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.3	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Watkins-Nance	2.7	Below Average	0.1	<b>Good*</b>	2.6	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
Webber	2.6	Below Average	0.1	<b>Good*</b>	2.5	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average
CSI	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.4	Unsatisfactory	-0.5	Unsatisfactory
MMBA	1.8	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.6	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory

\*Ratings in bold indicated the ratings were raised one level up due to the improvement of historically underachieving group of students.

\*\*Ratings in bold and italics indicated the ratings were raised due to absolute ratings of “Excellent” for two years in a row.

Ratings in pink indicate the ratings are lower than the previous year’s ratings; ratings in green indicate the ratings are higher than the previous year’s.

**Richland School District One**  
**Office of Research and Evaluation, February 12, 2007**  
**Confirmed 2007 Report Card Ratings**

Middle Schools	Confirmed Report Card Ratings 2006				<i>Confirmed</i> Report Card Ratings 2007			
	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating
Alcorn	2.1	Unsatisfactory	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	2.1	Unsatisfactory	-0.3	Unsatisfactory
Crayton	3.2	Average	0.0	Below Average	3.3	Average	0.0	Below Average
Gibbes	2.3	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average	2.3	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average
Hand	3.1	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	3.3	Average	0.1	<b>Good*</b>
Hopkins	2.3	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.5	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Perry	2.1	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average	2.2	Unsatisfactory	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Sanders	2.2	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.4	Unsatisfactory	0.1	Average
Southeast	2.6	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.6	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
St. Andrews	2.5	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.5	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
MMBA	2.2	Unsatisfactory	+0.1	Good*	2.2	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory

High Schools	Confirmed Report Card Ratings 2006				<i>Confirmed</i> Report Card Ratings 2007			
	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating
Columbia	2.1	Unsatisfactory	-0.7	Unsatisfactory	2.8	Below Average	0.5	Excellent
Dreher	3.6	Good	-0.8	Unsatisfactory	3.7	Good	0.3	<b>Excellent*</b>
Eau Claire	1.7	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average	2.3	Unsatisfactory	0.4	Excellent
Flora	3.3	Good	-0.6	Unsatisfactory	3.4	Good	0.3	<b>Excellent*</b>
Johnson	1.9	Unsatisfactory	-0.4	Unsatisfactory	2.5	Unsatisfactory	0.6	Excellent
Keenan	2.2	Unsatisfactory	-0.6	Unsatisfactory	2.1	Unsatisfactory	-0.3	Unsatisfactory
Lower Richland	2.2	Unsatisfactory	-0.3	Unsatisfactory	2.6	Below Average	0.2	Average

\*Ratings in bold indicated the ratings were raised one level up due to the improvement of historically underachieving group of students.

\*\*Ratings in bold and italics indicated the ratings were raised due to absolute ratings of "Excellent" for two years in a row.

Ratings in pink indicate the ratings are lower than the previous year's ratings; ratings in green indicate the ratings are higher than the previous year's.