

Richland School District One
Office of Research and Evaluation, February 12, 2007
Confirmed 2006 Report Card Ratings

Elementary Schools	Confirmed Report Card Ratings 2005				<i>Confirmed</i> Report Card Ratings 2006			
	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating
Arden	2.7	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.6	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Bradley	2.9	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	2.8	Below Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Brennen	3.56	Excellent	-0.1	Good**	3.4	Good	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Brockman	3.6	Excellent [@]	+0.1	Average	3.5	Good	0.0	Below Average**
Burnside	2.7	Below Average	+0.0	Below Average	2.6	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Burton-Pack	2.7	Below Average	+0.0	Below Average	2.4	Unsatisfactory	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Carver-Lyon	2.5	Below Average	+0.1	Good*	2.3	Unsatisfactory	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Caughman	2.8	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.8	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
Forest Heights	2.9	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.7	Below Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Gadsden	2.8	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	2.6	Below Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Greenview	2.8	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	2.7	Below Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Hopkins	2.9	Average	+0.2	Good*	2.9	Average	+0.1	Good*
Horrell Hill	3.0	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.9	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Hyatt Park	2.2	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average	2.2	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Logan	2.6	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.4	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Meadowfield	3.0	Average	0.0	Below Average	2.9	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Mill Creek	2.8	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	2.6	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Moore	3.0	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	3.1	Average	0.0	Below Average
Pine Grove	2.8	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	2.8	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Rhame	2.8	Average	0.0	Below Average	2.7	Below Average	0.0	Below Average**
Rosewood	3.54	Good	+0.1	Average [@]	3.4	Good	0.0	Below Average**
Sandel	2.6	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.6	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
Satchel Ford	3.49	Good	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	3.6	Good	0.0	Below Average
S. Kilbourne	2.9	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.8	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
Taylor	2.6	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.3	Unsatisfactory	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Thomas	2.5	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.4	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Watkins-Nance	2.5	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.7	Below Average	0.1	Good*
Webber	2.7	Below Average	+0.2	Good*	2.6	Below Average	0.1	Good*
MMBA	2.3	Unsatisfactory	-0.4	Unsatisfactory	1.8	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory

*Ratings in bold indicated the ratings were raised one level up due to the improvement of historically underachieving group of students.

**Ratings in bold and italics indicated the ratings were raised due to absolute ratings of “Excellent” for two years in a row.

[@] Actual rating level higher than simulated by R & E

Ratings in pink indicate the ratings are lower than the previous year’s ratings; ratings in green indicate the ratings are higher than the previous year’s.

Richland School District One
Office of Research and Evaluation, February 12, 2007
Confirmed 2006 Report Card Ratings

Middle Schools	Confirmed Report Card Ratings 2005				<i>Confirmed</i> Report Card Ratings 2006			
	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating
Alcorn	2.2	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average	2.1	Unsatisfactory	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Crayton	3.2	Good	0.0	Below Average	3.2	Average	0.0	Below Average
Gibbes	2.4	Below Average	0.1	Good*	2.3	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average
Hand	3.2	Good	0.1	Average	3.1	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Hopkins	2.4	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.3	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Perry	2.2	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.1	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average
Sanders	2.2	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.2	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Southeast	2.6	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.6	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
St. Andrews	2.5	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.5	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
MMBA	2.2	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.2	Unsatisfactory	+0.1	Good*

High Schools	Confirmed Report Card Ratings 2005				<i>Confirmed</i> Report Card Ratings 2006			
	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating
Columbia	2.8	Average	-0.5	Unsatisfactory	2.1	Unsatisfactory	-0.7	Unsatisfactory
Dreher	4.4	Excellent	0.3	Excellent**	3.6	Good	-0.8	Unsatisfactory
Eau Claire	1.7	Unsatisfactory	-0.3	Unsatisfactory	1.7	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average
Flora	3.9	Excellent	0.3	Excellent**	3.3	Good	-0.6	Unsatisfactory
Johnson	2.3	Unsatisfactory	0.9	Excellent	1.9	Unsatisfactory	-0.4	Unsatisfactory
Keenan	2.8	Average	-0.3	Unsatisfactory	2.2	Unsatisfactory	-0.6	Unsatisfactory
Lower Richland	2.5	Below Average	-0.5	Unsatisfactory	2.2	Unsatisfactory	-0.3	Unsatisfactory

*Ratings in bold indicated the ratings were raised one level up due to the improvement of historically underachieving group of students.

**Ratings in bold and italics indicated the ratings were raised due to absolute ratings of "Excellent" for two years in a row.

Ratings in pink indicate the ratings are lower than the previous year's ratings; ratings in green indicate the ratings are higher than the previous year's.

Palmetto Gold and Silver Awards for 2006

School	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Award
1. Hopkins	Average	Good	Silver
2. Watkins-Nance	Below Average	Good	Silver
3. Webber	Below Average	Good	Silver
4. Heyward Career Center	Excellent	Excellent	Gold