

Richland School District One
Office of Research and Evaluation, November 9, 2005
Confirmed 2005 Report Card Ratings

Elementary Schools	Confirmed Report Card Ratings 2004				<i>Confirmed</i> Report Card Ratings 2005			
	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating
Arden	2.7	Average	0.1	Good*	2.7	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
Bradley	3.0	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.9	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Brennen	3.6	Excellent	-0.1	Good	3.56	Excellent	-0.1	<i>Good**</i>
Brockman	3.4	Good	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	3.6	Excellent [®]	0.1	Average
Burnside	2.7	Average	0.0	Below Average	2.7	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
Burton-Pack	2.8	Average	0.2	Good*	2.7	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
Carver-Lyon	2.5	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.5	Below Average	0.1	Good*
Caughman	2.9	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	2.8	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Forest Heights	2.8	Average	0.0	Below Average	2.9	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Gadsden	3.0	Average	0.1	Good*	2.8	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Greenview	2.9	Average	0.1	Good*	2.8	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Hopkins	2.8	Average	0.1	Good*	2.9	Average	0.2	Good*
Horrell Hill	3.1	Good	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	3.0	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Hyatt Park	2.3	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.2	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average
Logan	2.6	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.6	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
Meadowfield	3.0	Average	0.0	Below Average	3.0	Average	0.0	Below Average
Mill Creek	3.0	Average	-0.0	Below Average	2.8	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Moore	3.0	Average	0.0	Below Average	3.0	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Pine Grove	3.0	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.8	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Rhame	3.0	Average	0.1	Good*	2.8	Average	0.0	Below Average
Rosewood	3.5	Excellent	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	3.54	Good	0.1	Average [®]
Sandel	2.7	Average	-0.0	Below Average	2.6	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Satchel Ford	3.7	Excellent	-0.1	Good	3.49	Good	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
S. Kilbourne	2.9	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.9	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Taylor	2.6	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.6	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
Thomas	2.7	Average	0.0	Below Average	2.5	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Watkins-Nance	2.5	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.5	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
Webber	2.8	Average	0.1	Good*	2.7	Below Average	0.2	Good*
MMBA	New School in 2005: Not Exist in 2004				2.3	Unsatisfactory	-0.4	Unsatisfactory

*Ratings in bold indicated the ratings were raised one level up due to the improvement of historically underachieving group of students.

**Ratings in bold and italics indicated the ratings were raised due to absolute ratings of “Excellent” for two years in a row.

[®] Actual rating level higher than simulated

Ratings in pink indicate the ratings are lower than the previous year ratings; ratings in green indicate the ratings are higher than the previous year’s.

Richland School District One
Office of Research and Evaluation, November 9, 2005
Confirmed 2005 Report Card Ratings

	Confirmed Report Card Ratings 2004				<i>Confirmed</i> Report Card Ratings 2005			
Middle Schools	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating
Alcorn	2.2	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average	2.2	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average
Crayton	3.2	Good	0.0	Below Average	3.2	Good	0.0	Below Average
Gibbes	2.2	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average	2.4	Below Average	0.1	Good*
Hand	3.1	Good	0.0	Below Average	3.2	Good	0.1	Average
Hopkins	2.5	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.4	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Perry	2.0	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.2	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Sanders	2.4	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.2	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Southeast	2.6	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.6	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
St. Andrews	2.6	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.5	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
MMBA	New School in 2005: Not Exist in 2004				2.2	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory

	Confirmed Report Card Ratings 2004				<i>Confirmed</i> Report Card Ratings 2005			
High Schools	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating
Columbia	3.3	Good	0.5	Excellent	2.8	Average	-0.5	Unsatisfactory
Dreher	4.1	Excellent	0.6	Excellent	4.4	Excellent	0.3	Excellent**
Eau Claire	2.0	Unsatisfactory	1.0	Excellent	1.7	Unsatisfactory	-0.3	Unsatisfactory
Flora	3.6	Excellent	0.3	Good	3.9	Excellent	0.3	Excellent**
Johnson	1.4	Unsatisfactory	-0.4	Unsatisfactory	2.3	Unsatisfactory	0.9	Excellent
Keenan	3.1	Good	0.6	Excellent	2.8	Average	-0.3	Unsatisfactory
Lower Richland	3.0	Average	0.4	Excellent	2.5	Below Average	-0.5	Unsatisfactory

Rating Level/ Number of Schools	Absolute Rating Levels						Improvement Rating Levels					
	Elementary		Middle		High		Elementary		Middle		High	
	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005
Excellent	3	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	5	3
Good	2	2	2	2	2	0	9	4	0	1	1	0
Average	18	13	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	1	0	0
Below Avg.	5	10	4	4	0	1	12	9	7	3	0	0
Unsatisfactory	0	1	3	4	2	2	7	14	2	5	1	4

*Ratings in bold indicated the ratings were raised one level up due to the improvement of historically underachieving group of students.

**Ratings in bold and italics indicated the ratings were raised due to absolute ratings of "Excellent" for two years in a row.

^ Actual rating level lower than simulated

Ratings in pink indicate the ratings are lower than the previous year ratings; ratings in green indicate the ratings are higher than the previous year's.

Palmetto Gold and Silver Awards for 2005

School	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Award
1. Brennen	Excellent	Good	Gold
2. Brockman	Excellent	Average	Gold
3. Carver-Lyon	Below Average	Good	Silver
4. Hopkins	Average	Good	Silver
5. Webber	Below Average	Good	Silver
6. Gibbes	Below Average	Good	Silver
7. Dreher	Excellent	Excellent	Gold
8. Flora	Excellent	Excellent	Gold
9. Heyward Career Center	Excellent	Good	Gold