

Richland School District One
Office of Research and Evaluation, January 2004
2003 Report Card Ratings (SDE Confirmed)

Elementary Schools

School	Report Card Ratings 2002				Report Card Ratings 2003			
	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating
Arden	2.6	Average	0.1	Average	2.6	Average	-0.1	- Unsatisfactory
Bradley	2.8	Average	-0.4	Unsatisfactory	2.9	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Brennen	3.5	Excellent	0.0	Good	3.5	Excellent	0.0	Good
Brockman	3.3	Good	0.1	Average	3.4	Excellent +	0.0	- Below Average
Burnside	2.4	Below Average	0.1	Average	2.4	Below Average	-0.1	- Unsatisfactory
Burton-Pack	2.3	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.3	Below Average	0.1	Average +
Carver-Lyon	2.5	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.5	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
Caughman	2.9	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.8	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Forest Heights	2.6	Average	0.0	Below Average	2.6	Average	-0.2	- Unsatisfactory
Gadsden	2.6	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	2.7	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Greenview	2.8	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory	2.6	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Hopkins	2.7	Average	0.2	Good	2.8	Average	0.1	- Average
Horrell Hill	2.9	Average	0.0	Below Average	2.9	Average	-0.1	- Unsatisfactory
Hyatt Park	2.3	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.2	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Logan	2.3	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.6	Average +	0.3	Good +
Meadowfield	3.0	Good	0.0	Below Average	2.9	- Average	-0.1	- Unsatisfactory
Mill Creek	2.7	Average	0.0	Below Average	2.9	Average	0.0	Below Average
Moore	2.9	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.8	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Pine Grove	2.7	Average	0.0	Below Average	3.0	Good	0.0	Below Average
Rhame	3.0	Good	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.8	- Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Rosewood	3.2	Good	0.2	Good	3.2	Good	-0.1	- Unsatisfactory
Sandel	2.7	Average	0.0	Below Average	2.7	Average	0.0	Below Average
Satchel Ford	3.7	Excellent	0.0	Good	3.6	Excellent	-0.1	Good
S. Kilbourne	2.9	Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.9	Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Taylor	2.5	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.3	Below Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Thomas	2.5	Below Average	-0.3	Unsatisfactory	2.4	Below Average	-0.2	Unsatisfactory
Watkins-Nance	2.5	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.4	Below Average	-0.3	Unsatisfactory
Webber	2.5	Below Average	-0.1	Unsatisfactory ²	2.6	Average +	0.0	Average ³ +

1 Pine Grove received a lower absolute rating (Average) than what R&E had simulated (Good). R&E is currently working to appeal this rating. Confirmed on 1-20-04.

2 R&E incorrectly reported Webber's 2002 improvement rating as Below Average on the first release of the rating simulations.

3 Webber received a higher improvement rating (Average) than what R&E had simulated (Below Average).

Richland School District One
Office of Research and Evaluation, October 2003
2003 Report Card Ratings (SDE Confirmed)

Middle Schools

School	Report Card Ratings 2002				Report Card Ratings 2003			
	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating	Absolute Index	Absolute Rating	Improvement Index	Improvement Rating
Alcorn	2.1	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average	2.1	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average
Crayton	3.0	Good	0.0	Below Average	3.1	Good	0.0	Below Average
Gibbes	2.1	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.1	Unsatisfactory	0.0	Below Average +
Hand	3.2	Good	0.0	Below Average	3.1	Good	0.0	Below Average
Hopkins	2.4	Below Average	0.1	Average	2.4	Below Average	-0.1	- Unsatisfactory
Perry	2.1	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory	2.1	Unsatisfactory	-0.1	Unsatisfactory
Sanders	2.3	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.3	Below Average	-0.1	- Unsatisfactory
Southeast	2.4	Below Average	0.0	Below Average ⁴	2.4	Below Average	0.0	Below Average
St. Andrews	2.5	Below Average	0.0	Below Average	2.4	Below Average	-0.1	- Unsatisfactory

4 The SDE adjusted Southeast's 2002 improvement rating.

High Schools (REVISED, 11-24-03)

School	Report Card Ratings 2002		Report Card Ratings 2003	
	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating
Columbia	Average (2.7)	Average (0.1)	Average (2.8)	- Below Average ⁵ (0.0)
Dreher	Excellent (4.1)	Excellent (0.1)	Excellent (3.5)	- Good (-0.3)
Eau Claire	Unsatisfactory (1.3)	Unsatisfactory (-0.7)	Unsatisfactory (1.2)	Below Average (0.0) +
Flora	Good (3.0)	Unsatisfactory (-1.1)	Good (3.3)	Excellent (0.4) +
Johnson	Unsatisfactory (1.4)	Below Average (0.0)	Unsatisfactory (1.8)	- Unsatisfactory (-0.1)
Keenan	Below Average (2.4)	Below Average (0.0)	Average (2.7) +	Good (0.3) +
Lower Richland	Average (2.6)	Average (0.2)	Average (2.8)	Average (0.1)

5 Columbia received a higher improvement rating (Below Average) than what R & E had simulated (Unsatisfactory).